

HIGHER MOMENTS OF THE ERROR TERM IN THE DIVISOR PROBLEM

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ AND WENGUANG ZHAI*

Dedicated to the memory of A.A. Karatsuba

ABSTRACT. It is proved that, if $k \geq 2$ is a fixed integer and $1 \ll H \leq \frac{1}{2}X$, then

$$\int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta_k^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} \left(H X^{(2k-2)/k} + H^{(2k-3)/(2k+1)} X^{(8k-8)/(2k+1)} \right),$$

where $\Delta_k(x)$ is the error term in the general Dirichlet divisor problem. The proof uses the Voronoï-type formula for $\Delta_k(x)$, and the bound of Robert–Sargos for the number of integers when the difference of four k -th roots is small. We also investigate the size of the error term in the asymptotic formula for the m -th moment of $\Delta_2(x)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n) - x P_{k-1}(\log x)$$

denote the error term in the (general) *Dirichlet divisor problem* (sometimes also called the *Piltz divisor problem*, especially in the case when $k = 3$). Here $d_k(n)$ denotes the number of ways n may be written as a product of k factors (so that $d_1(n) \equiv 1$ and $d_2(n) = d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n), and $P_{k-1}(z)$ is a suitable polynomial of degree $k-1$ in z (see e.g., [5, Chapter 13] and [12, Chapter 12]) for more details). The function $\Delta_k(x)$ takes both positive and negative values. It has finite jumps when $x = n \in \mathbb{N}$ which can be of the magnitude $\exp(C(k) \log n / \log \log n)$, the maximal order of $d_k(n)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11N37, 11M06.

Key words and phrases. The Dirichlet divisor problem, moments, mean fourth power, short intervals

*W. Zhai is supported by the Nat. Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10771127).

In particular, we have that

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta_2(x) \equiv \Delta(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} d(n) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1)$$

represents the error term in the classical Dirichlet divisor problem ($\gamma = -\Gamma'(1) = 0.5772\dots$ is Euler's constant). A vast literature exists on the estimation of $\Delta_k(x)$ and especially on $\Delta(x)$ (op. cit.), both pointwise and in various means. This concerns in particular various mean square results concerning $\Delta(x)$. In this work we shall be concerned with the higher moments, especially the fourth moment of $\Delta_k(x)$ in “short” intervals of the form $[X - H, X + H]$, which is the next “natural” moment after the square. Here “short” means that the relevant range for H is $H = o(X)$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

We begin by noting that the first author in [4] (see also [5, Chapter 13]) proved a large values estimate for $\Delta(x)$, which yielded the bound

$$(1.3) \quad \int_1^X \Delta^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{2+\varepsilon},$$

where here and later ε denotes arbitrarily small, positive constants, which are not necessarily the same ones at each occurrence. The asymptotic formula for the fourth moment with an error term was obtained by K.-M. Tsang [14]. He has sharpened (1.3) to

$$(1.4) \quad \int_1^X \Delta^4(x) dx = CX^2 + O_{\varepsilon}(X^{\gamma+\varepsilon})$$

with an explicitly given $C (> 0)$ and $\gamma = 45/23 = 1.956\dots$. Tsang also proved an asymptotic formula for the integral of the cube of $\Delta(x)$, namely

$$(1.5) \quad \int_1^X \Delta^3(x) dx = BX^{7/4} + O_{\varepsilon}(X^{\beta+\varepsilon})$$

with explicit $B > 0$ and $\beta = \frac{47}{28} = 1.6785\dots$. Later Ivić–Sargos [7] obtained the better values $\beta = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4$, $\gamma = \frac{23}{12} = 1.91666\dots$, and the second author [15] further reduced the value of γ to $\frac{53}{28} = 1.8926\dots$. Higher power moments of $\Delta(x)$ were studied by D.R. Heath–Brown [2] and the second author. In [15] he proved that

$$(1.6) \quad \int_1^X \Delta^m(x) dx = C_m X^{1+m/4} + O_{\varepsilon}\left(X^{1+m/4-\eta_m+\varepsilon}\right)$$

holds for integers m satisfying $5 \leq m \leq 9$ with some explicit $\eta_m > 0$. He gives in closed (although complicated) form the constants C_m , and it is conjectured that (1.6) holds $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Concerning the true order of $\Delta_k(x)$, a classical conjecture states that $\Delta_k(x) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} x^{(k-1)/(2k)+\varepsilon}$, while on the other hand $\Delta_k(x) = \Omega(x^{(k-1)/(2k)})$ (see e.g., [5] or [12]). For $k = 2, 3$ this follows heuristically from (2.4) and (when $k = 2$) from the asymptotic formula (1.4). The sharpest known omega-result result for $\Delta_k(x)$ is due to K. Soundararajan [10], who proved that

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta_k(x) = \Omega\left\{G_k(x)\right\} \quad (k \geq 2)$$

with

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} G_k(x) &:= (x \log x)^{(k-1)/(2k)} (\log \log x)^a (\log \log \log x)^{-b} \\ a &= \frac{k+1}{2k} (k^{(2k)/(k+1)} - 1), \quad b = \frac{3k-1}{4k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, as usual, $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$ ($g(x) > 0$ for $x \geq C$) means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ does not hold. Thus there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ tending to infinity such that, for some $\delta > 0$,

$$(1.9) \quad |f(x_n)| > \delta g(x_n) \quad (n \geq n_0(\delta)).$$

Our first aim is to prove an omega-result for the error term in (1.6). This is contained in

THEOREM 1. *If η_m is defined by (1.6) then, for all $m \geq 2$, $\eta_m \leq 3/4$. More precisely, we have*

$$(1.10) \quad \int_1^X \Delta^m(x) dx = C_m X^{1+m/4} + \Omega\left(G^{m+1}(X) \log^{-1} X\right),$$

where $G(x) \equiv G_2(X)$ is given by (1.8).

Remark 1. It would be interesting to investigate the sign of C_m in (1.6) in the general case; the values for $2 \leq m \leq 9$ are all positive.

Remark 2. A result analogous to Theorem 1 could be obtained for the m -th moment of the general error-term function $\Delta_k(x)$. However, except for the asymptotic formula

$$\int_1^X \Delta_3^2(x) dx = (10\pi^2)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_3^2(n) n^{-4/3} X^{5/3} + O_{\varepsilon}(X^{14/9+\varepsilon})$$

of K.-C. Tong [13], there are no other asymptotic formulas for moments of $\Delta_k(x)$ when $k > 2$. Hence such a result at present would not have much practical value.

Moments of $\Delta(x)$ over short intervals were investigated by Lau–Tsang [8]. In particular, they give the existence of

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{HX^{m/4}} \int_{X-H}^{X+H} |\Delta(x)|^m dx \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

under certain conditions on m and H . Lau and Tsang also investigated the above integral with $\Delta^m(x)$ (i.e., without the absolute values). For $k > 2$ there seem to be no analogous results available for $\Delta_k(x)$.

Our result, which is primarily of significance when $2 \leq k \leq 4$, deals with the fourth moment of $\Delta_k(x)$ in short intervals. It is the following

THEOREM 2. *If $k \geq 2$ is a fixed integer and $1 \ll H \leq \frac{1}{2}X$, then*

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta_k^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} \left(HX^{(2k-2)/k} + H^{(2k-3)/(2k+1)} X^{(8k-8)/(2k+1)} \right).$$

Remark 3. Note that, for $H \leq \frac{1}{2}X$ and $k \geq 3$ we have

$$HX^{(2k-2)/k} \leq H^{(2k-3)/(2k+1)} X^{(8k-8)/(2k+1)},$$

and for $k = 2$ this holds when $H \leq X^{3/4}$. The importance of $HX^{(2k-2)/k+\varepsilon}$ is that it is the “expected” order of the integral in (1.11), in view of the conjecture $\Delta(x) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} x^{(k-1)/(2k)+\varepsilon}$.

Remark 4. One of the reasons that we treat the fourth power of $\Delta_k(x)$ is the result of Robert–Sargos [9], embodied in Lemma 2. The case of the odd moments is more difficult, since $\Delta_k^m(x)$ takes both positive and negative values if m is odd.

Remark 5. In the case $k = 2$ the Theorem 2 yields

$$(1.12) \quad \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} \left(HX + X^{8/5} H^{1/5} \right) \quad (1 \ll H \leq \tfrac{1}{2}X),$$

while (1.4) yields

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} HX + X^{53/28+\varepsilon}.$$

Note that (1.12) improves (1.13). However, in this case one can obtain an even sharper bound. Let

$$(1.14) \quad \alpha := \inf \left\{ a : \Delta(x) \ll x^a \right\}.$$

Then

$$(1.15) \quad \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} (HX + X^{1+2\alpha}) \quad (\sqrt{X} \ll H \ll X).$$

The bound (1.15) follows from Theorem 6 of Lau–Tsang [8] when $A = 4$. It is proved by employing the large value method of [4] and [5, Chapter 13], in particular see (13.52) of [5].

Remark 6. It is well-known (i.e., follows from (1.4)) that $\alpha \geq 1/4$, hence the bound in (1.15) trivially holds when $H \ll \sqrt{X}$. On the other hand the best upper bound for α in (1.14) at present is

$$(1.16) \quad \alpha \leq \frac{131}{416} = 0.314903 \dots,$$

due to M.N. Huxley [3]. Hence combining (1.15) and (1.16) we obtain in fact

$$\int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} (HX + X^{339/208}) \quad (1 \ll H \ll X).$$

2. THE NECESSARY LEMMAS

We begin with the elementary

LEMMA 1. *For $1 \ll H \ll X$ and any integer $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_k(X) = \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \Delta_k(x) dx + O_{\varepsilon,k}(HX^{\varepsilon}).$$

Proof. Since $d_k(n) \ll_{\varepsilon,k} n^{\varepsilon}$, it follows from the defining relation (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k(X) - \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \Delta_k(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} (\Delta_k(X) - \Delta_k(x)) dx \\ &\ll \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \left(\left| \sum_{X \leq n \leq x} d_k(n) \right| + O(HX^{\varepsilon}) \right) dx \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} HX^{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.1). By using the well-known result of P. Shiu [11] on multiplicative functions, one can improve the error term in (2.1) to $O_k(H \log^{k-1} X)$ in the range $X^{\varepsilon} \leq H \ll X$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be a fixed integer and $\delta > 0$ be given. Then the number of integers n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 such that $3 \leq N < n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leq 2N$ and*

$$\left| n_1^{1/k} + n_2^{1/k} - n_3^{1/k} - n_4^{1/k} \right| < \delta N^{1/k}$$

is, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \ll_{\varepsilon} N^{\varepsilon} (N^4 \delta + N^2).$$

This result was proved by O. Robert–P. Sargos [9]. It represents an arithmetic tool which is useful in dealing with various analytic problems.

It seems reasonable to conjecture that, for fixed $\ell \geq 2$, the number of integers $n_1, \dots, n_{\ell}, n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_{2\ell}$ for which

$$\left| n_1^{1/k} + \dots + n_{\ell}^{1/k} - n_{\ell+1}^{1/k} - \dots - n_{2\ell}^{1/k} \right| < \delta N^{1/k}$$

holds is, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \ll_{\varepsilon} N^{\varepsilon} (N^{2\ell} \delta + N^{\ell}).$$

This conjecture is very strong, and already the truth of (2.3) for $\ell = 3$ would allow one to treat the sixth power of $\Delta_k(x)$ in short intervals.

LEMMA 3. *For fixed $k \geq 2$ and $1 \ll N \ll x$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_k(x) &= \frac{x^{(k-1)/(2k)}}{\pi \sqrt{k}} \sum_{n \leq N} d_k(n) n^{-(k+1)/(2k)} \cos\left(2k\pi(xn)^{1/k} + \frac{1}{4}(k-3)\pi\right) \\ &\quad + O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{(k-1)/k+\varepsilon} N^{-1/k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The explicit, Voronoï-type formula (2.4), is well known in the case when $k = 2$ (see [5, Chapter 3] or [12, Chapter 12]) for a proof). However, in the general case it does not seem to appear in the literature and a proof (based on the classical proof in the case when $k = 2$) is in order.

To begin with note that, for $x^{\varepsilon} \leq T \ll x$, Perron's inversion formula (see e.g., the Appendix of [5]) gives

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT} \zeta^k(s) x^s s^{-1} ds + O_{\varepsilon}(x^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}).$$

We replace the segment of integration by the segment $[-\varepsilon - iT, -\varepsilon + iT]$, passing over the pole of $\zeta^k(s)$ at $s = 1$. By the residue theorem this yields the term $xP_{k-1}(\log x)$

(cf. (1.1)). The horizontal segments $[-\varepsilon \pm iT, 1 + \varepsilon \pm iT]$ make a contribution which is

$$\ll \int_{-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} x^\sigma T^{-1} |\zeta(\sigma + iT)|^k d\sigma \ll_\varepsilon x^\varepsilon (xT^{-1} + T^{k/2-1}).$$

This is obtained by using the standard convexity bound (see [5, Chapter 1])

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll t^{(1-\sigma)/3} \log t \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1, t \gg 1\right)$$

and the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ in the form

$$\zeta(s) = \chi(s) \zeta(1-s), \quad \chi(s) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\sigma+it-1/2} e^{i(t+\pi/4)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \quad (t \geq t_0 > 0).$$

It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta_k(x) = 2\Re I + O_\varepsilon\left(x^\varepsilon (xT^{-1} + T^{k/2-1})\right),$$

where we have set

$$(2.6) \quad I := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^T \chi^k(-\varepsilon + it) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon+it} x^{-\varepsilon+it} \frac{dt}{-\varepsilon + it},$$

and the series in (2.6) is absolutely convergent. Therefore we may change the order of integration and summation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad I &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^T \chi^k(-\varepsilon + it) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon+it} x^{-\varepsilon+it} \frac{dt}{it} + O_\varepsilon(x^\varepsilon T^{k/2-1}) \\ &= \frac{e^{k\pi i/4}}{2\pi i x^\varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon} \int_1^T \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{-k\varepsilon+kit-k/2} e^{kit} (xn)^{it} \frac{dt}{t} + O_\varepsilon(x^\varepsilon T^{k/2-1}) \\ &= \frac{e^{k\pi i/4} (2\pi)^{-k\varepsilon-k/2}}{2\pi i x^\varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k(n)}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \int_1^T t^{k\varepsilon+k/2-1} e(F(t)) dt + O_\varepsilon(x^\varepsilon T^{k/2-1}) \end{aligned}$$

with $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$, and

$$2\pi F(t) = 2\pi F(t; x, k, n) := -kt \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + kt + t \log(xn).$$

Thus the saddle point of the last exponential integral in (2.7) (root of $F'(t) = 0$) is $t = t_0 = 2\pi(xn)^{1/k}$, so that $t_0 \leq T$ for $n \leq (T/(2\pi))^k x^{-1}$. Therefore the parameter T is determined to satisfy

$$N + \frac{1}{2} = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^k x^{-1} \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and $T \ll x$ holds because $N \ll x, k \geq 2$. The exponential integral in (2.7) is then evaluated e.g., by the well-known result of F.V. Atkinson [1] (see also [5, Chapter 2] or [6, Chapter 2]). The main term for the integral in the last line in the expression (2.7) for I (see p. 65 of [5]) is, since $|F''(t_0)|^{-1/2} = 2\pi(xn)^{1/(2k)}k^{-1/2}$, $F(t_0) = k(xn)^{1/k}$,

$$(2\pi)^{k\varepsilon + \frac{k}{2} - 1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} (xn)^{\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{k}} 2\pi(xn)^{1/(2k)} e(k(xn)^{1/k}) e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}.$$

For $n > N, 1 \leq t \leq T$ we have

$$F'(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{xn}{\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{n}{N + \frac{1}{2}},$$

so that by the first derivative test (i.e., Lemma 2.1 of [5]) the contribution of $n > N$ to I is

(2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll_{\varepsilon} x^{-\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \left(\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{\log \frac{n}{N + \frac{1}{2}}} + \sum_{n > 2N} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon} \right) + x^{\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \end{aligned}$$

on writing $n = N + r, 1 \leq r \leq N$ in the first sum above. There remain the error terms in Atkinson's formula (cf. (2.16) of [5]) for $n \leq N$. The first two error terms are clearly absorbed by the error terms in (2.5) and so is the third (corresponding to $a = 1$). The fourth error term makes a contribution which is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \sum_{n \leq N} d_k(n) n^{-1-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{xn}{\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^k} \right)} \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \left(\sum_{n \leq N/2} + \sum_{N/2 \leq n \leq N} \right) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon} T^{k/2-1} \end{aligned}$$

on proceeding similarly as in (2.8). Since $T \asymp (xN)^{1/k}$, the assertion of the lemma follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We shall use the following version of Lemma 1:

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta(x) = \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \Delta(x) dx + O(H \log X) \quad (X^{\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X),$$

which follows, as was mentioned in connection with Lemma 1, from P. Shiu's bound (see [11])

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{x < n \leq x+h} d(n) \ll h \log x \quad (x^\varepsilon \leq h \leq x).$$

Namely as in the proof of Lemma 1 we have, for $X^\varepsilon \leq H \leq X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta(X) - \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \Delta(x) dx \right| = \left| \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} (\Delta(X) - \Delta(x)) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \left(\sum_{X \leq n \leq x} d(n) + O(H \log X) \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \left(\sum_{X \leq n \leq X+H} d(n) + O(H \log X) \right) dx \ll H \log X, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.1) with $k = 2$ and (3.2).

Now we take $X = x_n$, the point for which the omega-result (1.8)–(1.9) is attained when $k = 2$. As Soundararajan's method of proof does not tell whether $\Delta(x_n)$ is positive or negative, we choose $\theta = \operatorname{sgn} X = 1$ if $\Delta(X) > 0$, and $\theta = -1$ if $\Delta(X) < 0$. Hence $\theta \Delta(X) = |\Delta(X)|$. When $X + X^\varepsilon \leq x \leq X + H$ we have $|X - x| \geq X^\varepsilon$, hence $|\Delta(X) - \Delta(x)| \leq B_1 |X - x| \log X$ by (3.2), similarly as in the proof (3.1). If $X \leq x \leq X + X^\varepsilon$ we use the trivial estimate $d(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon$ and (1.1). Hence we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad |\Delta(X) - \Delta(x)| \leq B_1 |X - x| \log X + X^\varepsilon \quad (X \leq x \leq X + H)$$

for some $B_1 > 0$. Therefore if we take

$$(3.4) \quad H = B_2 \frac{G(X)}{\log X}$$

with a sufficiently small constant $B_2 > 0$, it follows from (3.3) that $\theta \Delta(x) > 0$ for $X \leq x \leq X + H$. On multiplying by θ we obtain from (3.1), for some $B_3 > 0$,

$$(3.5) \quad B_3 (X \log X)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log_2 X)^{\frac{3}{4}(2^{4/3}-1)} (\log_3 X)^{-\frac{5}{8}} = B_3 G(X) \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \theta \Delta(x) dx,$$

where $\log_r X = \log(\log_{r-1} X)$. We raise (3.5) to the m -th power, and use Hölder's inequality for integrals, since the integrand in (3.5) is positive. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \left(B_3 G(X) \right)^m & \leq \frac{1}{H^m} \left(\int_X^{X+H} \theta \Delta(x) dx \right)^m \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_X^{X+H} \theta^m \Delta^m(x) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{H} \left(\theta^m E_m(X+H) - \theta^m E_m(X) + O(H X^{m/4}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (cf. (1.6))

$$E_m(X) := \int_1^X \Delta^m(x) dx - C_m X^{1+m/4}.$$

Should it happen that, for sufficiently small $c_0 > 0$ and $X \geq X_0$,

$$|E_m(X)| \leq c_0 \frac{G^{m+1}(X)}{\log X},$$

then it follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that, for sufficiently large X ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(B_3 G(X) \right)^m \leq 2B_2^{-1} c_0 G^m(X),$$

which is a contradiction if $c_0 < \frac{1}{4} B_2 B_3^m$. This proves Theorem 1, and $\eta_m \leq 3/4$ is a consequence of the explicit expression for $G(x)$ in (3.5).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We pass now to the proof of Theorem 2. Let $\varphi(x)$ (≥ 0) be a smooth function supported in $[X - 2H, X + 2H]$ such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \in [X - H, X + H]$, so that

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi^{(r)}(x) \ll_r H^{-r} \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

If $x \asymp X$, $N \ll X$, then from Lemma 3 we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta_k^4(x) dx &\leq \int_{X-2H}^{X+2H} \varphi(x) \Delta_k^4(x) dx \\ &\ll_\varepsilon X^{(2k-2)/k+\varepsilon} \max_{K \leq N} \int_{X-2H}^{X+2H} \varphi(x) \left| \sum_{K < n \leq K' \leq 2K} d_k(n) n^{-(k+1)/(2k)} e^{2k\pi i(xn)^{1/k}} \right|^4 dx \\ &\quad + H X^{(4k-4)/k+\varepsilon} N^{-4/k}. \end{aligned}$$

The integral in (4.2) is equal to

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{K < m, n, j, \ell \leq K'} d_k(m) d_k(n) d_k(j) d_k(\ell) (mnj\ell)^{-(k+1)/(2k)} \int_{X-2H}^{X+2H} \varphi(x) e^{iDx^{1/k}} dx,$$

where $(m, n, j, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and

$$D = D_k(m, n, j, \ell) := 2k\pi \left(m^{1/k} + n^{1/k} - j^{1/k} - \ell^{1/k} \right).$$

Integration by parts shows that the integral in (4.3) is

$$\frac{ik}{D} \int_{X-2H}^{X+2H} \left(\varphi'(x) x^{1-1/k} + (1-1/k) \varphi(x) x^{-1/k} \right) e^{iDx^{1/k}} dx.$$

This shows that we have obtained the same type of exponential integral, only the integrand is smaller by a factor of

$$\frac{1}{D} \left(H^{-1} X^{1-1/k} + X^{-1/k} \right) \ll \frac{X^{1-1/k}}{HD}.$$

Therefore, if we perform integration by parts $r = r(A, \varepsilon)$ times, then in view of (4.1) we see that the contribution of D for which

$$|D| > X^{1-1/k+\varepsilon} H^{-1}$$

will be smaller than X^{-A} for any given $A > 0$. In the case when

$$|D| \leq X^{1-1/k+\varepsilon} H^{-1}$$

we can use Lemma 2 (with $\delta = X^{1-1/k} H^{-1} K^{-1/k}$) and trivial estimation to infer that the expression in (4.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} K^{-(2k+2)/k} H (K^4 X^{1-1/k} H^{-1} K^{-1/k} + K^2) \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} H + X^{1-1/k+\varepsilon} N^{2-3/k}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives, in view of (4.2),

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta_k^4(x) dx \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} \left(H X^{(2k-2)/k} + X^{3-3/k} N^{2-3/k} + H X^{4-4/k} N^{-4/k} \right).$$

The terms containing N in (4.4) are equal if

$$N = X^{(k-1)/(2k+1)} H^{k/(2k+1)} \quad (< X).$$

Therefore (1.8) follows from (4.4), and the proof of the Theorem is complete.

Remark 7. From Lemma 1 and Hölder's inequality for integrals it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_k^4(X) \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{H} \int_{X-H}^{X+H} \Delta_k^4(x) dx + X^{\varepsilon} H^4.$$

If we take $H = X^{(k-1)/(k+1)}$ in (4.5) and apply (1.8) of the Theorem, we obtain the known bound (follows also from Lemma 3)

$$\Delta_k(X) \ll_{\varepsilon} X^{(k-1)/(k+1)+\varepsilon}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F.V. ATKINSON, 'The mean value of the Riemann zeta-function', *Acta Math.* **81**(1949), 353-376.
- [2] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, 'The distribution of moments in the Dirichlet divisor problems', *Acta Arith.* **60**(1992), 389-415.
- [3] M.N. HUXLEY, 'Exponential sums and lattice points III', *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) **87**(2003), 591-609.
- [4] A. IVIĆ, 'Large values of the error term in the divisor problem', *Inventiones Math.* **71** (1983), 513-520.
- [5] A. IVIĆ, 'The Riemann zeta-function', John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985 (2nd ed. Dover, Mineola, New York, 2003).
- [6] A. IVIĆ, 'The mean values of the Riemann zeta-function', LNs **82**, Tata Inst. of Fundamental Research, Bombay (distr. by Springer Verlag, Berlin etc.), 1991.
- [7] A. IVIĆ AND P. SARGOS, 'On the higher power moments of the error term in the divisor problem', *Illinois J. Math.* **81**(2007), 353-377.
- [8] Y.-K. LAU AND K.-M. TSANG, 'Moments over short intervals', *Arch. Math.* **84**(2005), 249-257.
- [9] O. ROBERT AND P. SARGOS, 'Three-dimensional exponential sums with monomials', *J. reine angew. Math.* **591**(2006), 1-20.
- [10] K. SOUNDARARAJAN, Omega results for the divisor and circle problems, *J. Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2003, No. **36**, 1987-1998(2003).
- [11] P. SHIU, 'A Brun-Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions', *J. reine Angew. Math.* **31**(1980), 161-170.
- [12] E.C. TITCHMARSH, 'The theory of the Riemann zeta-function' (2nd ed.), University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [13] K.-C. TONG, On divisor problems III (Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica* **6**(1956), 515-541.
- [14] K.-M. TSANG, 'Higher power moments of $\Delta(x)$, $E(t)$ and $P(x)$ ', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3)**65**(1992), 65-84.
- [15] W. ZHAI, 'On higher-power moments of $\Delta(x)$ ' *Acta Arith.* **112**(2004), 367-395, Part II, *ibid.* **114**(2004), 35-54, Part III, *ibid.* **118**(2005), 263-281, and Part IV (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sin.*, Chin. Ser. **49**, No. 3, (2006), 639-646.

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ, KATEDRA MATEMATIKE, UNIVERSITET U BEOGRADU, RUDARSKO-GEOL-OŠKI FAKULTET, ĐUŠINA 7, 11000 BEOGRAD, SERBIA.

WENGUANG ZHAI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250014, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: ivic@rgf.bg.ac.rs, aivic_2000@yahoo.com

zhaiwg@hotmail.com